IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 10089 of 2004

Between:

A.P.S.R.T.C. Mushirabad Hyderabad Rep. by its Managing Director.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, rep by its Commissioner Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
2. Government of A.P., rep. by its Prl. Secretary, Municipal Administration Urban Development (F2) Department Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
3. M/s Prakash Arts Moving Media Pvt. Ltd., 3-6-473/1, Street No.5 Himayathnagar,
   Hyderabad Rep by its Director, Sri M.Sarath Babu.
4. M/s Uni Ads Pvt.Ltd., 7-1-212/8, Shivbagh Ameepet, Hyerabad-16 Rep. by its Director, Sri P.Subba Rao.
5. M/s.SNAP India, 7-1-212/4, Shivbagh, Ameerpet, Hyderabad-16.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of a writ of mandamus, declaring that the impugned G.O.710 MA&UD DT.26.5.2003 is illegal,

without jurisdiction and invalid; and further declaring that the action of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad in issuing the impugned Tender Notification No.1211/AD3/Advt/MCH/2003 Dt.27.1.2004 calling for sealed tenders for grant of lease of Bus Shelters situated within the limits of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad with rights of display of advertisements on them for a period of one year, is illegal without jurisdiction and invalid directing the respondents 1 and 2 not to interfere with the rights of the petitioner in the said Bus Shelters and pass such other and further orders to meet the ends of Justice.

For the Petitioner :MR.VENKATESWARLU POSANI, SC for APSRTC.

For the Respondent No.1:Mr.G.Rama Rao, SC for MCH

For the Respondent No.2:-The Government Pleader for Muncipal Administration.

For the Respondent No.3 to 5:- None appeared.

The Court Made the Following :

Oral Order:

–

When the matter came up for hearing, the learned Special Government Pleader representing the learned Advocate General submitted that in pursuance of the orders dated 22-6-2004 passed by this Court, the Chief Secretary to the Government, convened a meeting of the heads of the petitioner and the respondent, and a settlement having been reached between them, a Memorandum of Understanding has been entered into, which would take the form of a G.O. to be issued by the Government. In that view of the matter, he submitted that no further orders need be passed in the writ petition.

The submission made by the learned Special Government Pleader representing the learned Advocate General is placed on record, and the writ petition is closed, as no further orders need be passed therein. No costs.

_____

(N.V. RAMANA, J)

7th July, 2004.

Mrb/Ksr

To

1. The Commissioner,

   Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad,

   Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.

2. The Prl. Secretary, Municipal Administration
   Urban Development (F2) Department,

   The Government of A.P Secretariat Buildings,

   Hyderabad.

3. Two CCs to G.P for Municipal Administration and Urban Development,
   High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.(OUT)

4. Two CD Copies.